

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

PCT
TRANSLATION

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

		Date of mailing (day/month/year)
Applicant's or agent's file reference FM-4804		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below
International application No. PCT/JP2005/000561	International filing date (day/month/year) 19.01.2005	Priority date (day/month/year) 19.01.2004
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC		
Applicant MITSUBISHI GAS CHEMICAL COMPANY, INC.		

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. I | Basis of the opinion |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. II | Priority |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. III | Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. IV | Lack of unity of invention |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. V | Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VI | Certain documents cited |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VII | Certain defects in the international application |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VIII | Certain observations on the international application |

2. **FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP	Authorized officer
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/000561

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
 This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 - a. type of material
 a sequence listing
 table(s) related to the sequence listing
 - b. format of material
 in written format
 in computer readable form
 - c. time of filing/furnishing
 contained in the international application as filed.
 filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
 furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/000561

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement																									
<p>1. Statement</p> <table> <tr> <td align="center">Novelty (N)</td> <td>Claims</td> <td>7-9</td> <td align="center">YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Claims</td> <td>1-6</td> <td align="center">NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">Inventive step (IS)</td> <td>Claims</td> <td></td> <td align="center">YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Claims</td> <td>1-9</td> <td align="center">NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">Industrial applicability (IA)</td> <td>Claims</td> <td>1-9</td> <td align="center">YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Claims</td> <td></td> <td align="center">NO</td> </tr> </table>		Novelty (N)	Claims	7-9	YES		Claims	1-6	NO	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES		Claims	1-9	NO	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-9	YES		Claims		NO
Novelty (N)	Claims	7-9	YES																						
	Claims	1-6	NO																						
Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES																						
	Claims	1-9	NO																						
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-9	YES																						
	Claims		NO																						
<p>2. Citations and explanations:</p> <p>Document 1: Journal of Supramolecular Chemistry, 2003, Volume Date 2002, 2 (1-3), pp. 247-254 Document 2: Tribology Transactions, 1997, 40 (2), pp. 279-282 Document 3: Languir, 1996, 12 (9), pp. 2207-2213 Document 4: Kolorissztikai Ertesito, 1983, 24 (3), pp. 142-147 Document 5: JP, 9-71766, A (ADEKA CORPORATION), 18 March, 1997 (18.03.97), pp. 2-5 & EP, 761780, A2 & US, 5753731, A Document 6: JP, 9-67563, A (ADEKA CORPORATION), 11 March, 1997 (11.03.97), pp. 2-4 & EP, 761779, A2 & US, 5977398, A Document 7: JP, 2003-64346, A (KYODO YUSHI CO., LTD.), 5 March, 2003 (05.03.03), pp. 2-3</p> <p>Claims 1-6 Inventions related to claims 1-6 do not appear to be novel and inventive on account of the documents 1-4 cited in the ISR. Documents 1-4 describe a triphenylmethane compound having a urea group or a urethane group.</p> <p>Claims 7-9 Inventions related to claims 7-9 do not appear to be inventive on account of documents 1-7. Documents 5-7 describe that a compound having a urea group or a urethane group is useful as a gelling agent, so that using the triphenylmethane compound described in documents 1-4 as a gelling agent would have easily been done by a person skilled in the art.</p>																									

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing
(day/month/year)

Applicant's or agent's file reference

FM-4804

FOR FURTHER ACTION

See paragraph 2 below

International application No.

PCT/JP2005/000561

International filing date (day/month/year)

19.01.2005

Priority date (day/month/year)

19.01.2004

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

Applicant

MITSUBISHI GAS CHEMICAL COMPANY, INC.

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. I | Basis of the opinion |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. II | Priority |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. III | Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. IV | Lack of unity of invention |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. V | Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VI | Certain documents cited |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VII | Certain defects in the international application |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VIII | Certain observations on the international application |

2. **FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP

Authorized officer

Faxsimile No.

Telephone No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/000561

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
 This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 - a. type of material
 a sequence listing
 table(s) related to the sequence listing
 - b. format of material
 in written format
 in computer readable form
 - c. time of filing/furnishing
 contained in the international application as filed.
 filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
 furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.	PCT/JP2005/000561
-------------------------------	-------------------

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
-----------	---

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims	<u>7 - 9</u>	YES
	Claims	<u>1 - 6</u>	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
	Claims	<u>1 - 9</u>	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	<u>1 - 9</u>	YES
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Document 1: Journal of Supramolecular Chemistry, 2003, Volume Date 2002, 2 (1-3), pp. 247-254
 Document 2: Tribology Transactions, 1997, 40 (2), pp. 279-282
 Document 3: Languir, 1996, 12 (9), pp. 2207-2213
 Document 4: Kolorisztikai Ertesito, 1983, 24 (3), pp. 142-147
 Document 5: JP, 9-71766, A (ADEKA CORPORATION), 18 March, 1997 (18.03.97), pp. 2-5 & EP, 761780, A2 & US, 5753731, A
 Document 6: JP, 9-67563, A (ADEKA CORPORATION), 11 March, 1997 (11.03.97), pp. 2-4 & EP, 761779, A2 & US, 5977398, A
 Document 7: JP, 2003-64346, A (KYODO YUSHI CO., LTD.), 5 March, 2003 (05.03.03), pp. 2-3

Claims 1-6

Inventions related to claims 1-6 do not appear to be novel and inventive on account of the documents 1-4 cited in the ISR.

Documents 1-4 describe a triphenylmethane compound having a urea group or a urethane group.

Claims 7-9

Inventions related to claims 7-9 do not appear to be inventive on account of documents 1-7.

Documents 5-7 describe that a compound having a urea group or a urethane group is useful as a gelling agent, so that using the triphenylmethane compound described in documents 1-4 as a gelling agent would have easily been done by a person skilled in the art.